## Negro Ed.

## District Court Of The United States

DISTRICT OF MARYLAND.

Civil Docket No. 170.

Filed November 22, 1939.

WALTER MILLS, PLAINTIFF,

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, A CORPORA-TION, AND GEORGE FOX, AS COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, DEFENDANTS.

Thurgood Marshall, Leon A. Ransom, William H. Hastie, W. A. C. Hughes, Jr., Charles Houston and Edward D. Lovett for complainant. William C. Walsh, Attorney-General of Maryland; H. Vernon Eney, Assistant Attorney-General of Maryland, and Noah A. Hillman for de-

Constitutional Law-Fourteenth Amendment of Constitution-Equal Protection of the Laws-Bill for Injunction Against Discrimination As to Salary of School Teachers Because of Race or Color-Injunction Granted. CHESNUT, District Judge-

plaintiff, who is a colored school teacher

for white teachers, graduated to procolored schools; and alleges that in practical application only white teach-The plaintiff contends that this constition which is prohibited by the equal tution. The prayer for specific relief teachers as such but only to all teachers is that "the Court issue a permanent in colored schools whether white or joining the defendants and each of them pointed out in the opinion in the forthe grounds of race or color in the fixing of salaries paid white and colored teachers and principals employed for the public schools of Anne Arundel the public schools of Anne Arundel the public schools of the public school term was somewhat longer in the state; that the Gounty Boards of Education have for the defendants to justify the difference in salaries on other grounds. Thus it is said that until recently the actual amount to be paid to their teachers.

This case is a natural sequel to that purposes of comparison it will be suffiof Mills vs. Lowndes et al., in this Court, cient to take the case of white and 26 F. S. 792. In that case the same colored teachers respectively who have a first grade rating and nine years or employed by the Board of Education more experience. In 1904 the first miniof Anne Arundel County, of the State mum salary act for white teachers of Maryland, sued the State Board of (there being none at all for colored Education to secure an equalization of teachers prior to 1918) prescribed a salaries paid to white and colored teach- minimum for white teachers of \$300 ers in the public schools of Maryland. per annum; in 1908 and 1910 this was On motion of the defendants after ex- increased (for a teacher in white tended argument, the complaint was elementary schools having a first class dismissed for various reasons stated in rating and more than eight years' exthe opinion, importantly including the perience) to \$450; in 1916 to \$550; in absence from the record as a defendant 1918 to \$600; in 1920 to \$750; in 1922 of the County Board of Education. In to \$1,150; and in 1939, (on a slightly the present suit the plaintiff has sued different basis as to professional qualithe County Board and its superin- fications and experience) to \$1,250, and, tendent alone. Under the practice re- if the teacher held an academic degree cently established by the new federal to \$1,450. By comparison the minimum rules of civil procedure the defendants for colored elementary teachers of simhave filed third-party complaints lar rating has been much less. Their against the State Board of Education salaries have been fixed by statute not and the County Commissioners of Anne on a yearly but monthly basis, and for Arundel County as third party defen- most of the time heretofore, for seven dants, and the latter have moved to months of the year. In 1918 the minidismiss these third party complaints. mum was \$280 per year, increased in The complaint in this case calls at- 1920 to \$445 per year; in 1922 to \$595; tention to the Maryland statute which and in 1939, (by reason of increase in provides a minimum scale or salaries the duration of the school year) to \$765 per year. At the present time, fessional qualifications and years of ex- therefore, the respective minima are perience, and a separate statute provid- \$1,250 for white teachers and \$765 for ing a lower minimum for teachers in colored teachers, with comparable professional qualifications and experience.

The plaintiff contends that the staters are employed in white schools and utes are unconstitutionally discriminacolored teachers in colored schools, and tory on their face and should be held that the latter are paid less in Anne generally invalid. On the other hand Arundel County than white teachers it is pointed out in defence of the statsolely on account of their race or color. utes that they constitute minimum, not maximum, salaries, and that, while the tutes an unconstitutional discrimina- minimum for white teachers is higher than the minimum for teachers in colprotection clause of Section 1 of the ored schools, the statutes affecting the 14th Amendment to the Federal Constitutes affecting the latter do not expressly apply to colored injunction forever restraining and en- colored. It is also to be noted, as was from making any distinction solely on mer case, that the County is the unit

colored principal at \$995; but in practured to pay more than the minimum colored teachers in Anne Arundel Counties the County Board is but in practured to pay more than the minimum colored teachers in Anne Arundel Counties the County Board is but in practured to pay more than the minimum colored teachers in Anne Arundel Counties are colored to the county Board in the practured to pay more than the minimum colored teachers in Anne Arundel Counties are colored to the county Board in the practured to pay more than the minimum colored teachers in Anne Arundel Counties are colored to the county Board in the practured to pay more than the minimum colored teachers in Anne Arundel Counties are colored to the colored teachers in Anne Arundel Counties are colored to the colored teachers in Anne Arundel Counties are colored to the colored to the colored teachers are colored to the colored to the colored teachers are colored to the colored to the colored teachers are colored to the colored to tice the County Board in many cases to any white or colored teacher who actually pays higher salaries to actually pays higher salaries to the merits it, provided the discrimination principals of schools in constitution account of race or principals of schools, in consideration is not solely on account of race or of particular conditions and constitutions are constitutions and constitutions and constitutions are considerable and consider of particular conditions and capacities color. of the respective principals. Thus the plaintiff's salary for the current year has been fixed at \$1,058, or \$103 res. has been fixed at \$1,058, or \$103 more than the minimum, and in the carrier to expression to express than the minimum, and in the case of three white principals, mentioned asset of three white principals, mentioned asset of the county practice rather three white principals, mentioned in the evidence, the salary is \$1,800 mentioned in the evidence, the salary is \$1,800 mentioned in the evidence the evidence that the evidence the salary is \$1,800 mentioned in the evidence the evidenc evidence, the salary is \$1,800 per year, than the mere terms of the statute or \$250 more than the minimum th or \$250 more than the minimum. The defendants contend that the material advantages to the County defendants contend that the materially higher salaries of these three materially are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materially are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materially are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materially are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materially are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materially are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materially are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materially are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materially are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materially are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materials are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materials are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materials are practical advantages to the County higher salaries of these three materials are practical advantages to the County higher salaries are practical advantages are practical advantages are practical advantages. higher salaries of these three white teachers of schools comparable in the materially are practical advantages are practical advantages are practical advantages. teachers of schools comparable in size to that of which the plaintiff is a relate, as it thereby becomes entitled spatter, as it thereby becomes entitled Equalito that of which the plaintiff is a prin-cipal is due to the judgment of the Board that the three white principal is the so-called Equali-to participate in the so-called Equali-Board that the three white principals fully explained in the opinion in the have superior professional attained a fully explained in the opinion in the have superior professional attainments and efficiency to that of Mills; but it to be importantly noted that the things of the colored teachers' pay to the is to be importantly noted that these personal qualities, while explains these to raise the colored teachers' pay to the personal qualities, while explains the same of the State statute for white personal qualities, while explaining greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for white greater compensation to the partial minimum of the State statute for the partial minimum of the state state and the partial minimum of the state state greater compensation to the particular individuals than the minimum of the particular individuals that the particular individuals that the particular individuals that the particular individuals that the particular individuals individuals individuals individuals individuals individuals indi individuals than the minimum county with and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the particular position and lose the benefit of the Equilipscale for the Equ scale for the particular position, do not account for the difference between the colored teachers' pay up to account for the difference between \$1,058 only received by Mills and the minimum of \$1,550 which by the County scale would have to be paid to any white principal of a comparable sphere. white principal of a comparable school. receiving about \$100,000 from the Or, in other words, if Mills were Or, in other words, if Mills were a white principal he would necessary and the words of the principal he would necessary and the words of the principal he would necessary and the principal he woul white principal he would necessarily receive according to the County tax receive according to the County scale not less than \$1,550 as company scale additional on the general County tax

colored teachers in Anne Arundel County, as that the County has a present ty including those teaching in color-by high tax rate of about \$2.30 per ty including those teaching in colored high schools. By the Anne Arundel scale the salaries of teachers and solve the sal high schools of teachers and prin- It cipals of white high schools is some elemetary schools that for the white white the problem presented by this case is not peculiar alone september 2. what higher than that for the white elemetary schools, the difference white to Arundel County, but exists to elemetary schools, the difference white to Arundel County, but exists to elemetary schools, the difference rang-ing from \$300 to \$400; and there is also a differential in favor of high solutions and the state of the stat a differential in favor of high school teachers in the scale for the colors also teachers in the scale for the colors also but exists in many Southern that the scale for the colors also but exists in many Southern than the scale for the colors also but exists in many Southern than the scale for the colors also but exists in many Southern than the scale for the sca teachers in the scale for the colored schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools, the difference in favor and large of the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools and the colored Maryland, but exists in many Southern Schools and the colored Maryland, but exists in th schools, the difference in favor of the high school teacher being about \$300. There is also a salary differential between elementary and high school teachers in colored schools by the State limit or colored to the minimum statute. It is not necessary underential below. As previously noted, it does not teachers in colored schools by the State limit or colored to the minima of the minimum statute. It is not necessary underential below. minimum statute. It is not necessary to state further details of the high school schedules in this respect, but the school schedules in this respect, but the case of Frank E. Butler, a colored principal of the Bates High School at Annapolis may be taken for illustration,

but no one colored teacher receives so much salary as any white teacher of 1939, similar qualifications and experience. The crucial question in the case is whether the very substantial differen tial between the salaries of white and continued for colored teachers in Anne Arund colored teachers in Anne Arundel County is due to discrimination on account of race or color. I find as a fact from the testimony that it is.

not less than \$1,550 as compared with his actual salary of \$1,058.

The plaintiff has filed this suit. The plaintiff has filed this suit not colored teachers in Anne Arundel County has a present colored teachers in Anne Arundel County has a present colored teacher in Anne Arundel County has a

unmindful of or indifferent to its probthy with the proposition that the es of white and colored teachers cipal of the Bates High School at Annapolis may be taken for illustration. He received an A.B. degree from Morgan College in 1920 and has been continuously employed as a teacher in or Arundel County for nineteen years. He has the principal of a colored school in Annapolis should be also but indicating an intention to find make some increase in the rate of the principal of a comparable white of \$2,600.

A white principal of a comparable white high school would receive a minimum of \$2,600.

I also find from the evidence that in white teachers and 91 colored teachers; but indicating an intention to find from the evidence that in white teachers and 91 colored teachers are 243 but no one colored teacher receives so much salary as any white salary as any white teachers in or illustration. The extra time which seems to be substantially merely at time which seems to be ubstantially merely at it voluntarily increased by ten ant, the salaries of colored school rs for the remaining months of polastic year 1938-39. That pere Board proposed to a represendelegation of county colored late of said city, deceased.

ty solely on account of race or color, and my finding from the testimony is that this question must be answered in the affirmative, and the conclusion of law is that the plaintiff is therefore entitled to an injunction against the continuance of this unlawful discrimination. I wish to make it plain, however, that the Court is not determining what particular amounts of salaries must be paid in Anne Arundel County either to white or colored teachers individually; nor is the Board in any way to be prohibited by the injunction in this case from exercising its judgment as to the respective amounts to be paid to individual teachers based on their

nterlocutory injunction a three-judge Court was not authorized by U. S. C., Title 28, s. 380 Stratton vs St. Louis, S. W. Ry.

2S, S. 380 Stratton vs St. Louis, S. W. Ry. Co., 282 U. S. 10; McCart vs. Indianapolis Water Co., 302 U. S. 410.

The jurisdiction of the Court in this case is based on 28 U. S. C., s. 41 (1) and (14).

(2) See also Act of 1939, Ch. 514, increasing from 47 cents to 51 cents the county tax levy for school nurposes as a condition to y for school purposes as a condition to be benefit of the "Equalization Fund" discussed in the former case, and hereinafter

(4) The defendants also contend that the \$1,800 compensation of these three white principals (that is \$250 more than the minimum county scale) is in part justified by the fact that their particular schools are what are called consolidated schools and that the bus transportation of pupils to the school, the busses arriving and leaving at different times, requires the principals of these schools to have approximately 1% hours additional attendance per day at school over and above the time required for Mills. It appears, however, that what is required in this property of the school over and above the school over an above the school over a school over or colored to the minima of the statutes. In January, 1938, the spassed a resolution expressing and discharge pupils rather than from the property of the proposition that the principal slope. The teachers are the required in this respect is additional time from the property of the prop principal alone. The teachers receive no additional compensation for their extra time which seems to be substantially merely

## LEGAL NOTICES.

## Third Insertion.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE, That the subscriber has obtained from the Orphans' Court of Baltimore City letters of adminis-tration c. t. a. on the estate of

All persons delegation of county colored teachers that it would for the ding scholastic year and for each the reafter increase their salaries additional ten per cent. until they imated the State minimum for teachers, it being estimated that LEGAL NOTICES

Fourth Insertion.

Edward P. Waldschmidt, Solicitor, 922 Light Street. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTI-MORE CITY — (B—509—1939) — Evelyn Moss, complainant, vs. Martin Moss, defendant.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION.

The object of this suit is to procure a divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the complainant, Evelyn Moss, from the defendant, Martin Moss.

Martin Moss.

The bill recites that the parties were married on or about March 23rd, 1935, in the City of Baltimore and the State of Maryland by a religious ceremony; that the complainant is now, and has continuously been a resident of the City of Baltimore and the State of Maryland, for more than two wears willow to the City of the City of Baltimore and the State of Maryland, for more than two wears willow to the City of Baltimore and the State of Maryland, and he declared his intention to live with her no longer as her husband, and that said separation has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint, and is the final and deliberate act of the defendant, and the separation of the parties hereto is beyond all reasonable hope or expectation of reconciliation; that the defendant is a non resident of the State of Maryland and was last heard of whilst residing in the Bronx, New York City, N. Y.

It is thereupon this 6th day of Novem-

cussed in the former case, and hereinafter also mentioned.

(3) A non discriminating minimum salary for teachers was held constitutional in Bopp vs. Clark, 165 Iowa 697; see also School City of Evansville vs. Hickman, 47 Ind. App. 500. At least 20 States have some form of minimum salary laws for teachers, See "Minimum Salary Laws for Teachers," Nat. Ed. Assoc., Wash., D. C., Jan., 1937.

(4) The defendants also contend that the \$1,800 compensation of these three white principals (that is \$250 more than the minimum county scale) is in part justified by the fact that their particular schools and that the bus transportation of pupils to the school, the busses arriving and leaving at the school is and that the bus transportation of pupils to the school, the busses arriving and leaving at the school is and that the school, the busses arriving and leaving at the school is and that the school, the busses arriving and leaving at the school is and that the bust transportation of pupils to the school is and that the bust transportation of pupils to the school is and that the school is and t

W. CONWELL SMITH. True Copy-Test:

CHAS. R. WHITEFORD, 7.14.21.28

William S. Wilson, Jr., Solicitor, 1001-2 Court Square Building. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTI-MORE CITY — (B—511—1939) — Marion Winifred A. Kendall vs. William Kendall. ORDER OF PUBLICATION.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION.

The object of this bill is to procure a decree of divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plaintiff, Marion Winifred A. Kendall, from the defendant, William Kendall.

The bill recites the marriage of the parties in Elkton, Maryland, on January 1, 1935, by a religious ceremony, the residence of the plaintiff in Maryland for more than two years prior to the filing of the bill; that no children were born to the parties as a result of the marriage; that without just cause the defendant abandoned and deserted the plaintiff five days after the marriage, namely, on January 6, 1935, and has never returned to live with the plaintiff since that date; that there is no reasonable hope of reconcillation; that the defendant is a non-resident of Maryland whose last is a non-resident of Maryland whose last known address was Washington, D. C.

known address was Washington, D. C.

It is hereby ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, this 6th day of November, 1939, that the plaintiff, Marion Winifred A. Kendall, by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some disily newspaper published in Baltimore City once a week for four successive weeks before the 7th day of December, 1939, give notice to the absent defendant, William Kendall, of the object and substance of this suit and warning him to be and appear in this Court in person or by solicitor on or before the 22nd day of December, 1939, to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree as may be passed herein.

W. CONWELLI SMUTH

is that "the Court issue a permanent in colored schools whether white or injunction forever restraining and en- colored. It is also to be noted, as was joining the defendants and each of them pointed out in the opinion in the forfrom making any distinction solely on mer case, that the County is the unit the grounds of race or color in the fixing for public education in the State; that of salaries paid white and colored the County Boards of Education have teachers and principals employed for full authority for discretion as to the the public schools of Anne Arundel actual amount to be paid to their teach-County, and from paying to the plain- ors both white and colored, and are tiff or any other colored teacher or entirely at liberty, in co-operation with principal employed by them a less sal-ary than they pay any white teacher or ties respectively, to pay higher salaries principal employed by them and filling than the minimum fixed by law; and an equivalent position in the public that in fact nine of the twenty-three schools of Anne Arundel County." By counties of the State, and Baltimore an amendment to the original complaint City, do pay equal salaries to white the plaintiff also seeks a declaratory and colored teachers of equal profesdecree (under 28 U. S. C., s. 400) "that sional qualifications and experience. It this Court adjudge and declare that is clear enough, therefore, that in pracdefendents' policy complained of here- tical application the statutes of them-43 of Title 8 of the United States Code.1

land statutory scheme of public education is essential to a considered opinion on the question presented by the The statutory provisions were discussed 792 (to which reference is hereby filed on March 1, 1939. The only subtion and experience, to replace the former position-experience schedule. The general effect of the Act was to somewhat increase the minimum salary teachers. schedule for white teachers, but without any increase in the previously established minimum salary for teach-1939, which made the school term for color prejudicial to the plaintiff. We ly having been some disparity in the respective terms, those for colored children being generally a month or two shorter than those for white children. Hereafter for both it is required that the schools be kept open not less than 180 actual school days, or nine months in each year.

The historical development of Mary land legislation with respect to the comparative salaries for white and colored teachers is important in this case The legislation is said to be unique in that while no maximum salary is prescribed for payment by the several ers at \$700, the general minimum being cause the positions are equivalent the a difference which has existed for many elementary schools. The plaintiff, how-necessarily equal in all respects in prowith respect to white and colored teachers' salaries, by virtue of which the minimum for white teachers has always been very materially higher than the minimum for colored teachers. The rating of all teachers both white and rating of all teachers both white described to colored is determined and certified to school but do for white principal white teachers, it is difficult to see how colored is determined and certain the County Boards by the State Board, school but do for white principals of it would have legal justification for the county Boards by the State Board, schools, the minimum for and is based on uniform requirements. elementary schools, the minimum for paying colored teachers less than the The salaries for white teachers (and to lesser extent for colored teachers) are graduated to professional qualifiare graduated to professional quantity are graduated to p cations and years of experience, so that complex; salary of a white principal of a combased solely on race or color. But the schedules are somewhat complex; parable school at \$1,550, and for a based solely on race or color. But the

which is prohibited by the equal than the minimum for teachers in colprotection clause of Section 1 of the ored schools, the statutes affecting the 14th Amendment to the Federal Consti- latter do not expressly apply to colored The prayer for specific relief teachers as such but only to all teachers in, in the respects it is maintained and selves do not necessarily require actual enforced pursuant to State statutes as discrimination in practice between well as in the respects it is maintained white and colored teachers on account and enforced in the absence of con- only of their race or color.3 It is, howtrolling statutes, violates the due pro- ever, equally clear that the statutes do cess and equal protection clauses of the permit the County Boards to make such 14th Amendment of the Constitution of discrimination, and there is ample evi the United States; and Sections 41 and dence that in most of the counties of the State (including Anne Arundel A precise understanding of the Mary-County) a very substantial difference between the pay schedules of white and colored teachers has always existed. Thus it is shown that the annual averpleadings and testimony in this case. age salary for whote and colored teachers in elementary schools in the Maryat length in the former case, 26 F. S. land Counties for the period of 1921 to 1939 is in the ratio of nearly two to one made) and need not now be repeated. in favor of the white teachers. In 1921 The opinion in the former case was the comparative figures were \$881 for white teachers and \$442 for colored; in sequent legislation upon the subject is 1930 the respective figures were \$1,199 the Maryland Act of 1939, Ch. 502, ap- and \$635, and in 1931, \$1,314 and \$848. proved May 11, 1939, and effective Sep- It is, however, fairly to be noted that tember 1, 1939, which established a new in recent years the disparity has gradu-State minimum salary schedule for ally been reduced. The average increase white teachers, setting up therein a in salary over the nineteen-year period single salary schedule based on prepara- has been \$433 for white teachers and \$406 for colored teachers, or a percentage of increase of 49% for the white teachers and 92% for the colored

The controlling question in the case however, is not whether the statutes are unconstitutional on their face, but ers in colored schools.2 Attention should whether in their practical application also be called to the Maryland Act of they constitute an unconstitutional dis-1937, Ch. 552, effective September 1, crimination on account of race and In the first place we find that for some to the effect that such unlawful disyears past at least the County Board of crimination exists; but I do not think Education of Anne Arundel County, in the plaintiff is entitled to an injunction fixing the salaries of white and colored to the extent prayed for in the conteachers, has paid to both classes more cluding clause of the prayer for an inthan the minima required by the gen-junction reading: "and from payment eral statutes. In 1937 the County Board to the plaintiff or any other colored of Education fixed the scale of salaries teacher or principal employed by them for white teachers, in the case of a a less salary than they pay any white teacher who has the qualifications and teacher or principal employed by them experience above mentioned, at \$1,250 and filling an equivalent position in the ing then \$1,150); and for colored teach-\$680. These figures are for teachers in particular persons filling them are ever, is the principal of a colored elementary school at Camp Parole, Anne and some range of discretion in de-Arundel County, Maryland, with three teacher assistants and he is now in his teachers is entirely permissible to the eleventh year of teaching experience. The State minimum statutes do not County Board continues to observe the prescribe the salary for the position of minimum State statute for salaries for the latter (where the principal has the minimum required for white teachers same qualifications as the plaintiff, and of similar standard professional qualihas two to four assistants) being \$1,550. fications and experience, as such dis-

whether the very substantial differential between the salaries of white and colored teachers in Anne Arundel County is due to discrimination on account of race or color. I find as a fact from the testimony that it is. Some effort has been made by counsel for the defendants to justify the difference in salaries on other grounds. Thus it is said that until recently the school term was somewhat longer in the white schools than in the colored schools; and it is also said that the colored teachers are less efficient than the white teachers because the results of examinations in the white and colored schools in Anne Arundel County, when the papers are marked by outside impartial educators, show a substantially lower average for colored pupils than for white pupils. But in opposition to these contentions it is to be noted that the school term has now been made equal for white and colored schools; and the lower grade in examinations attained by colored pupils is readily explainable on other grounds than the alleged inefficiency of colored teachers.5 The contentions of the defendants in this respect seem really unsubstantial when the whole problem is viewed historically in the light of the Maryland law and general state practice on the subject, and particularly in the light of the actual practical appli cation of the Maryland statutes in Anne Arundel County. And indeed any controversy over the fact would seem to be ended by the testimony of the defendant, Fox, who is Superintendent of Education in Anne Arundel County and an executive officer of the County School Board, and that of Miss Mc Neely, the financial secretary of the Board, both of whom substantially admitted that the discrimination in the county schedule of minimum salaries for white and colored teachers respectively was at least largely influenced

by the fact of race or color. I conclude therefore from the plead ings and testimony that the plaintiff has established that he as a colored teacher is unconstitutionally discriminated against in the practice of his profession by the discrimination made between white and colored teachers by the County School Board of Anne Arundel County; and that he is entitled to an injunction against the concolored children of equal duration to must therefore look to the testimony in extent that it is based solely on the this case to see how the statutes have grounds of race or color, and that he been applied in Anne Arundel County. is also entitled to a declaratory decree public schools of Anne Arundel County Board of Education. If the

The crucial question in the case is teachers for the remaining months of the scholastic year 1938-39. That per THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE, That the subcentage increase was not continued for centage increase was not continued for scriber has obtained from the Orphans; the current year; but in October of this Court of Baltimore City letters of adminisyear the Board proposed to a representative delegation of county colored late of said city, deceased. All persons school teachers that it would for the having claims against said deceased are succeeding scholastic year and for each year thereafter increase their salaries by an additional ten per cent. until they approximated the State minimum for white teachers, it being estimated that the void require four or five years to Given under my hand this 13th day bring about such equalization, on the November. 1939. LILLIE ECK DIETZ, and the present suit he with bring about such equalization, on the condition that the present suit be withdrawn; but this proposition was declined by the plaintiff whose action in the matter had the support of all the colored teachers of the county. But

> public employe; in the former opinion the view was taken that he has a sufficient status as a qualified school teacher by profession and occupation to have the question determined. Again it is argued that an injunction should not be granted because there is an adequate remedy at law by mandamus in the State Court. This also was discussed in the former case, but in a some what different connection. The objections to an injunction which were there held valid, do not exist here; and Title 8, s. 43 of the United States Code expressly authorizes an injunction as a possibly appropriate remedy in this class of cases.

The County Board of Education also contends that if the plaintiff is entitled to the relief prayed for in this case, it has a remedy over against the State Board of Education and the County Commissioners of Anne Arundel County. But for the reasons fully stated in the opinion in the former case, I do not find or conclude that there is any judicial remedy, as distinct from legislative amendments, to which the defendants are entitled against the State Board of Education and the State officers in charge of the Equalization Fund, or any present remedy over against the County Commissioners of Anne Arundel County. The applicable legal pro cedure is that the County Board of Education will have to prepare a new bud get for the next scholastic year, and the County Commissioners, to the extent required by the statutes, will thereafter have to fix the necessary county rate for taxation. I conclude therefore that the third party complaints must be dis-

Counsel for the plaintiff are also not unmindful of the financial problems which will necessarily be faced by the County Board of Education and County Commissioners of Anne Arundel County eason of the injunction to be issued in this case, and have expressed willingness of the to have the operative effect of the injunctions postponed until the preparations postponed until the preparations ration of the next annual budget by the County School Board; and therefore the judgment to be entered will conform

to this agreement. The findings of fact and conclusions of law expressed in this opinion are inof law expressed in this opinion are inof the to be in compliance with Rule 52
cedure. Federal Rules of Civil Prodesire, but if counsel on either side
ings of separate and more explicit findsubmitted for consideration. As already
whether, the controlling issue of fact is
crimination by the defendants in determining the selaries of white and crinination by the defendants in determining by the defendants in determining the state of white and the schedules are somewhat complex, salary of a white principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the principal of a com-based solely on race or color. But the color of the c

Third Insertion.

tration c. t. a. on the estate
JACOB ECK,

hereby warned to exhibit the same, with the vouchers thereof legally authenticated, to the subscriber on or before the 16th day of May, 1940; they may otherwise, by law. be excluded from all benefit of said estate. All persons indebted to said estate Administratrix, c. t. a.

Kenney & Kaiser, Attorneys, 16 St. Paul Street.

colored teachers of the county. But these financial considerations cannot control the supreme law of the land as expressed in the 14th Amendment, and the implementing Acts of Congress which must be controlling here.

Some objections by the defendants to the relief asked by the plaintiff were considered in the former case. Thus it is argued that the plaintiff is not entitled to complain because he is a public amployer in the former opinion

Court of Baltimore City letters of administration on the estate of LUDWIG W. KAISER.

Late of said city, deceased. All persons having claims against said deceased are hereby warned to exhibit the same, with the vouchers thereof legally authenticated, to the subscriber on or before the 16th day of May, 1940; they may otherwise, by law, be excluded from all benefit of said estate are requested to make immediate payment. Given under my hand this 13th day of November, 1939.

JOSEPH O. KAISER,

Lourt of Baltimore City letters of administration on the estate of LUDWIG W. KAISER. JOSEPH O. KAISER,

Administrator

n14,21,28,d5

Fourth Insertion.

Niles, Barton, Morrow & Yost, Attorneys Baltimore Life Building.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE, That the subscriber has obtained from the Orphans' Court of Baltimore City letters of administration on the estate of CHARLES C. WACKER,

late of said city, deceased. All persons having claims against said deceased are hereby warned to exhibit the same, with the vouchers thereof legally authenticated, to the subscriber on or before the 9th day to the subscriber on or before the 9th day of May, 1940; they may otherwise, by law, be excluded from all benefit of said estate. All persons indebted to said estate are requested to make immediate payment. Given under my hand this 6th day of November, 1939.

ANNA L. WACKER, n7,14,21,28

Alfonso von Wyszecki, Attorney, 100 East Pleasant Street.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE, That the subscriber has obtained from the Orphans' Court of Baltimore City letters testamentary on the estate of CHARLES HOWARD MEISTER,

CHARLES HOWARD MEISTER, late of said city, deceased. All persons having claims against said deceased are hereby warned to exhibit the same, with the vouchers thereof legally authenticated, to the subscriber on or before the 9th day of May, 1940; they may otherwise, by law, be excluded from all benefit of said estate. All persons indebted to said estate are requested to make immediate payment. Given under my hand this 6th day of under my hand this 6th day of November, 1939.

ALFONSO VON WYSZECKI,

Francis I. Mooney, Attorney, 111 North Charles Street.

this in North Charles Street.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE, That the subscriber has obtained from the Orphan's Court of Baltimore City letters of administration on the estate of CHARLES E. RICE, late of said city, deceased. All persons having claims against said deceased are hereby warned to exhibit the same, with the vouchers thereof legally authenticated, to the subscriber on or before the 9th day of May, 1940; they may otherwise, by law, be excluded from all benefit of said estate. All persons indebted to said estate are requested to make immediate payment. Given under my hand this 6th day of November, 1939. EDWIN J. RICE, n7,14,21,28

REAL ESTATE ONLY.

REAL ESTATE ONLY.

John V. Klier, Attorney, 1222 Fidelity Building. THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE, That the sub-scriber has obtained from the Orphans Court of Baltimore City letters of adminis-

tration on the estate of EMMA C. STEWART,

of Baltimore City, this 6th day of November, 1939, that the plaintiff, Marion Winfred A. Kendall, by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper published in Baltimore City once a week for four successive weeks before the 7th day of December, 1939, give notice to the absent defendant, William Kendall, of the object and substance of this suit and warning him to be and appear in this Court in person or by solicitor on or before the 22nd day of December, 1939, to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree as may be passed herein. City, this 6th day of Novemat the plaintiff, Marion Wini ecree as may be passed herein.

M CONMELT SMITH

st: CHAS. R. WHITEFORD, Clerk.

PROPERTY SALES.

TRUSTEE'S SALE OF 1504 NORTH GAY STREET

By decree of the Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City, the undersigned, Trustee, Baltimore City, the undersigned, Trustee will sell at public auction, on the premises, on

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 7, 1939 AT 4:00 O'CLOCK P. M.,

ALL THAT LOT in Baltimore, Maryland, on the northwest side of Gay Street at the center of the partition wall between the house on this lot and the house adjoining house on this lot and the house adjoining on the southwest side, being at the distance of 38 feet 3 inches northeasterly from the corner formed by the intersection of the northwest side of Gay St. with the north side of Oliver St.; and running thence northwesterly along the center of said partition wall 28 feet 9 inches, more or less, to the end thereof; thence still northwesterly continuing the same direction 36 feet 7 inches, more or less, to the southeast side of an alley 10 feet wide; thence northeasterly along the southeast southeast side of an alley 10 feet wide; thence northeasterly along the southeast side of said alley 11 feet 9 inches, more or less, to the division between the closet on this lot and the one adjoining on the northeast; thence southeasterly along said division 3 feet 7 inches, more or less; thence southwesterly along the southeast side of said closet 1 foot to a division fence; thence southeasterly along said fence 4 feet 1½ inches to the westernmost corner of the brick dwelling adjoining on the northeast; thence northeasterly 4½ corner of the brick dwelling adjoining on the northeast; thence northeasterly 4½ inches to the center of the partition wall between the house on this lot and the house adjoining on the northeast; thence southeasterly along the center of said partition wall 62 feet 3 inches, more or less, to the northwest side of Gay St.; and thence southwesterly binding on the northwest side of Gay St. 15 feet 1 inch to the place of beginning. In fee-simple and improved by a 3-STORY BRICK DWELLING.

Terms: 1/3 cash, balance in 6 and 12 months, with interest on credit balances, or all cash, at purchaser's option; expenses, including special paying tax, if any, to be adjusted to day of sale. Deposit of \$200 required at sale; balance to bear interest from day of sale.

JOHN A. FARLEY, Trustee. SAM W. PATTISON & CO., Auctioneers.

FALL MEETING

peccessessessessesses

15 DAYS RACING

Nov. 16 to Dec. 2 INCLUSIVE

E

E

N

First Race, 1.30 P. M.

Daily Double Window

CLOSES 1.15 P. M.